



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/782,594	02/12/2001	John R. Bianchi	RTI- 112R	9490
7590	07/23/2004		EXAMINER	
DONALD J. POCHOPIEN McANDREWS, HELD & MALLOY, LTD. CITICORP CENTER, 34TH FLOOR 500 WEST MADISON STREET CHICAGO, IL 60661			PREBILIC, PAUL B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738	
			DATE MAILED: 07/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/782,594	BIANCHI ET AL.	
	Examiner Paul B. Prebilic	Art Unit 3738	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 May 2004.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 26-34 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 26-34 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
 6) Other: _____

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because in Figures 6, 7, 13, 14, 18, 21D, 21E, and 22D, the crosshatching indicates metal not bone components; see MPEP 608.02.

The proposed change to Figure 1 has been approved as had the proposed changed to Figure 20C. However, the other Figures are still objected to because the crosshatching does not clearly show a biochemical component. In particular, not only does a biochemical components have top right to lower left crosshatching, but they also contain horizontal lines and crescent-shaped objects; see the second table of drawing standard in MPEP 608.02.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Upon review of present claims over the subject matter of the various parent applications and related applications, it was determined that claims 26-33 have an effective filing date of February 12, 2001. In particular, claims 27, 31, 32, and 33 have this date because of the "press-fit" limitation is not supported by earlier applications. Support for this limitation can be found in paragraph [0053] of the present application. Claims 26, 28, 29, 30 and 33 have this date because of the preclusion of adhesive, which has support from original claims 28 and 29 of this application. Claims 28-30 have this date because of the "two or more" or "three" connected bone portions in combination with bone pins. Finally, claims 30 and 32 have this date because of the "cancellous" bone portions as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 26-31 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Anderson et al (US 6,200,347). Anderson anticipates the claim language where the bone portions as claimed are the bone grafts (16 and 17 of Figure 7 or elements 2 and 4 of Figure 1) and the pins of Anderson are threaded and do not contain adhesive (see column 5, lines 29-33); see also column 19, line 62 to column 20, line 36 and column 5, lines 1-8. The interference fit of Anderson with a smaller hole than the pin is considered to be the same as a press fit; see column 5, lines 29-33.

Claims 31-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McIntyre (US 4,950,296). McIntyre anticipates the claim language where the first bone portion as claimed is the top half of the cortical bone member (12) of McIntyre, the second bone portion as claimed is the bottom half of the cortical bone portion (12), and the cancellous bone portion is the cancellous plug (20); see Figures 1 and 2 as well as column 2, lines 23-52 where the snug fit is considered to be a press-fit.

Claims 26, 28, 29, 31, 33, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tormala et al (US 5,084,051). Tormala anticipates the claim language where the first bone portion is layer (2) of Tormala, the second bone portion is layer (1) of Tormala, and the pins as claimed are screws (R) of Tormala. The layers and screws of Tormala are considered to be bone portions because they are for attachment or use with bone and bone repair. This is the same way that a "bone screw" in the art is not necessarily made of bone but rather for use on bone.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3738

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Applicant should specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure, including the claims (MPEP 714.02 and 2163.06). Due to the procedure outlined in MPEP 2163.06 for interpreting claims, it is noted that other art may be applicable under 35 USC 102 or 35 USC 103(a) once the aforementioned issue(s) is/are addressed.

Applicant is respectfully requested to provide a list of all copending applications that set forth similar subject matter to the present claims. A copy of such copending claims is respectfully requested in response to this Office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Prebilic whose telephone number is (703) 308-2905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott, can be reached on (703) 308-2111. The fax phone number for this Technology Center is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 3700 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.



Paul Prebilic
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3738